

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4234	
10/082,492 02/22/2002		02/22/2002	Gene Samson	271/231		
28075	7590	05/26/2005		EXAMINER		
CROMPTO	N, SEA	GER & TUFTE, LI	ROBERT, EDUARDO C			
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	N 21 I	55403-2420		3732		

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W	~
/ 40	4-

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/082,492	SAMSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Eduardo C. Robert	3732		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Eduardo C. Robert	3732							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folk places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	of Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing ab The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three montherarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the as after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of the final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a brid	of will not be entered	haaausa						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further or			because						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		20.0,,							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.		•							
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling						
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. 		vill be entered and an	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	''.								
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-31</u> .		•							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	out before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>i</u> wit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessate.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta-	cnea.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	2						
	•	1der.10 6	\mathcal{O}						
		Eduardo C. Robert Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3732							

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed deletion and addition of limitations into claims 21 and 26 would required further consideration and search since the scope of the claims have been changed, e.g. new rejection should be considered like double patenting rejection and new art rejection.